

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,257	01/20/2003	Francois Bertelli	A0000179/2-01-MG	9390
7590 04/09/2007 Mehdi Ganjeizadeh Warner Lambert Company			EXAMINER	
			DUTT, ADITI	
2800 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1649	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			(
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		04/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/088,257	BERTELLI ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aditi Dutt	1649			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the expiration of the 			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (l Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o CFR 1.114).	or (3) a timely filed Request for			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is			
(b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.					
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity under 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 	rence rendered on and becauses.	se the period for seeking court review			
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:	JANET L. ANI SUPERVISORY PATEI	DRES S NT EXAMINED			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)